

**IMPLEMENTING ELECTRONIC GOVERNMENT (IEG) STATEMENT 2006  
(Report by the Head of Information Management)**

**1. INTRODUCTION**

1.1 The purpose of this report is to seek approval to submit the final IEG 6 statement by the OPDM deadline of 10<sup>th</sup> April 2006. Due to the late issue of guidance by the ODPM and the need to progress the IEG through the usual HDC approval process we will be submitting a statement which has only received COMT approval. We will re-submit the IEG once it has received Council approval in June.

**2. SUPPORTING / BACKGROUND INFORMATION**

2.1 The Council is required to submit a statement of progress against e-government targets to the Office of the Deputy Prime Minister (ODPM) each year. Statements were submitted in 2001, 2002, 2003 and 2004. In return the Council received capital grants of, respectively, £200k, £200k, £350k and £150k. A further statement – IEG5 – was submitted in December 2005.

2.2 The IEG6 is attached to this paper. The sections which are still subject to change are:

Section 1 – Priority Outcomes - G8

Section 5 – Local e-Government Implementation Expenditure

Section 6 – Local e-Government Programme Efficiency Gains

2.3 In addition, Section 3 – BVPI 157<sup>1</sup>, contains the same data as in the previous submission, due to insufficient timescales needed to collect updated figures. As a result this will now be completed at a later date.

2.4 The status of the priority outcomes for HDC at the end of the ODPM IEG Programme (31/3/06) are as follows:

Status	Priority Outcome
Green	R1, R2, R3, R5, R6, R8, R12, R14, R15, R18, R19, R20, R21, R22, R23, R24, R25, R26, R27, R29 G1, G2, G3, G4, G5, G6, G7, G9, G14, G16, G18, G19, G20, G22, G23, G24, G25
Amber	R4 (page 6 of IEG6), R7, R9, R10, R13, R16, R28 G8, G10, G11, G12, G13, G15, G17, G21
Red	R11 (p8)

<sup>1</sup> Best Value Performance Indicator 157 (BVPI 157) is the nationally set target for delivering or supporting services by electronic means. It measures 'the number of types of interactions that are enabled for electronic delivery as a percentage of the types of interactions that are legally permissible for electronic delivery'.

Green means that we have met the outcome. Amber means that we intend to meet the outcome and have made progress towards doing so. However, Amber outcomes were not met by 31/3/06 due, for example, to other priorities set by HDC, or restrictions imposed by supplier's software.

Red means we do not currently plan to meet the outcome. In the case of R11 this is due to the excessive cost of the software interface. The Council may chose to re-visit this outcome at a later date if other benefits "balance" the cost.

2.5 The document was compiled by the Customer First Team and input has been sought from a number of services within the Council.

2.6 As with previous IEG statements, successful implementation of Amber outcomes will depend on timely and sufficient input from all parts of the Council.

## 2.7 RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that:

- Cabinet approves the submission of the attached Implementing Electronic Government (IEG) statement.
- Council confirms the submission of the attached Implementing Electronic Government (IEG) statement.

## BACKGROUND INFORMATION

The National Strategy for e-Government:

(<http://www.localgov.gov.uk/Nimoi/sites/ODMP/resources/20021127%20Final%20NS%20with%20cover.pdf>)

Defining e-Government Outcomes for 2005 to support the delivery of priority services & National Strategy Transformation Agenda for Local Authorities in England:

(<http://www.localgov.gov.uk/en/1/priorityoutcomes.html>)

### Contact Officers:

Chris Hall, Customer First Programme Manager

01480 388116